EDITORIAL.

2+2

[June,

comprehend the fact that the recognition and advoracy of the false theory of dynamization must cease; not because the low-potency party desire its dissolution—but because it is the embodiment of error and, from the homeopathic point of view, of error only.

"This hypothetical method of practice has had its ephenucral existence, as chiefest of 'medical illusions,' and has been discarded by a large proportion of the membership of the homœopathic school. Every day that we allow this empirical method to be taught at our medical colleges, we are acting a lie *i* meetings of our societies, and publish them as homœopathic, without protest, tion will go forward.

"It is well that the attention of our school is being called to this important subject, and it is desirable that measures be imagurated for the removal of all professors in our colleges who represent these obnoxious doctrines, and the appointment in their places of others who will teach sounder and more rational principles. "Dr. H. W. Taylor says: 'This small moiety infest our colleges. They

do not teach homeopathic therapeutics. Hence, there is a growing demand that they be retired, and Low Dilution homeopaths he put in their places," "I twill be useless to attempt the changes in the faculties of our medical colated by the homeopathic school. As the sentiments that are approved at meetings of our large associations reflect, with a good degree of accuracy, the views of a majority of the profession, the wisest course that I can suggest is, the adoption, by our State and local societies, of a declaration to the effect To this no one can reasonably object. It does not in the least interfere with values analysis, the results of this evidently non-homeopathic method of understructure in a department by itself.

j,

"This once accouplished, thereafter true Honneopathy will not be cumbered by the humiliating association with that which is purely facitious, visionary

* Yew Yark Medical Times, Dec., 1881, page 287.

t The *naiveté* of this gentleman is truly refreshing. See his reasoning. He says: Let us declare that all practice with potencies above the 12th is dynamic. Reporting "nondescript dynamic cases" is "acting a lie." To this declaration (i. e., classing potencies above the 12th dynamic) "no one can reasonably object!!"

So according to this innocent-low-potency-true-homeopath no man should "reasonably object" to being classed as a "*liar*." Others are probably more sensitive for their fair name than the Albany statesman-physician. By what scientific reasoning does this suscent declare that the limit of poten-

tintion is reached at the 12th? Does he arrogate unto himself the power of God, and say: Thus far and no farther shalt thou go? Or does he say: Let my laziness and incompetency be the limit beyond which science cannot go?

1. A. A.

and the second se

1882.]

MISREPRESENTATIONS.

and hypothetical. The question of the small dose (not the minimum dose, which has been the principal disturbing element in our school), will then assume much more manageable proportions."

We quote these passages, not from any great importance the writer possesses, for he is merely a straw, floating on the stream of mongrel eclecticism; but since straws show the direction of the current, it behooves true homeopathists to take note of them. When such twaddle can be openly published and circulated as genuine Homoopathy is it not time for the Hahnemannians to be *actively* working for their science? Is it not their *duty*, and should it not be their pleasure to do so? Passive adherence—*i. e.*, work in the office and sick-room—to Hahnemannism is very good; but something more is needed at this time. Each Hahnemannian practitioner should join the I. H. Association and work for his science.

The writer of the above-quoted passages endeavors to make believe that the issue between homeopathists and eelectics is simply one of dose; that high potency and low potency are synonyms for homeopathists and eelectics. This he knows to be false. Many low-potency men are as good homeopathists as the advocate of the M or CM. For it is the manner in which one prescribes, not the dose used, which makes him an homeopathist or an eelectic.

From what we have said in our April issue and in the above, we have endeavored to set plainly before the profession the fact that there are two distinct parties in the so-called homeopathic school. The one representing eclectic methods and practice; the other, the principles and practice of Hahnemann. The time has now come when all practitioners must choose which party they will aid and assist. Will you retrogade or advance; be an eclectic or an homeopathist?

MISREPRESENTATIONS

AD. LIPPE, M. D., PHILADELPHIA.

There was a period when "*Futul Errors*" were freely advocated by professing homeopathists, but the most prominent of them being exposed in some of the homeopathic journals, an apparent cessation of their promulgation was the result. Of late, a much more dangerous and much more detestable mode of attempted perversion of Homeopathy into eclecticiam has been resorted to by professing homeopaths. This new mode of proceeding consists in "*Mus*-

Digitized by REMEDIA

MISREPRESENTATIONS.

[June,

244

representations." To point them all out and expose them as they are presented to the profession in the pretended homeopathic journals, would be an herculean task, and we shall, therefore, only notice the most outrageous.

and gets all the symptoms, those that are most prominent and those cases is due to his close adherence to Homeopathy. sive doses. true homœopath when he claims superior success in treating interopening speech of the debate is one huge misrepresentation. From expose them, calls them) so outrageous that it becomes our very unmann Club, a member also of the Faculty of the Hahnemann mannian Monthly and was opened by the President of the Hahnesion was published in the April (1882) number of the Hahne them, Quinine. He believes that all his success in treating such that this is in most cases, or, at least, in a very large percentage of chooses that which is the homesopathic remedy for the case, and finds that are least so, the modalities, etc., and having done this, he mittent fever with Chiniuum sulph. from the first triturition to masand his work and Homeopathy, but he misrepresents himself as a indulged are (as the only member of the Society who ventured to treatment of intermittent fever came up for discussion; the discusprescribes for a totality of symptoms. He individualizes each case first to last the speaker misrepresents not only history, Halmemann pleasant duty to say a few words about them. In fact, the whole Medical College. The misrepresentations in which this gentleman Homœopathic Medical Society, a cleverly-written paper on the At the December, 1881, meeting of the Philadelphia County He says, he follows the precepts of Hahnemann, and

Comments.—Others, Hahnemann, Bœnninghausen, H. C. Allen and a host of old practitioners have declared time and again, that under the precepts of Hahnemann, professedly adhered to by the learned speaker, they have found China and Quinine to be the truly homeopathic remedy in but a very small percentage of cases of intermittent fever. There can be but one logical deduction drawn from the presentation of final results when the same laws were applied for the finding of the homepathic remedy: when one or more persons find that "in our days," Quinine is the truly homeopathic remedy in almost all cases of intermittent fever, while the founder of the school and a host of his faithful disciples declare it to be but very seldom the curative homeopathic remedy; and that

one logical deduction is-that one of two parties "misrepresents"

1882.]

MISREPRESENTATIONS

either his conception of, and the mode of practicing, Homcopathy, or his final results.

The learned speaker continues his misrepresentations; he says: "Is not Quinine the Simillimum to intermittent fever, par excellence? By Quinine, here, I mean Sulphate of Quinin, Peruvian Bark, China. Cinchonidia and others of that ilk. These are nearly identical, so far as their pathogenetic or curative effects are concerned."

Comments.—The men who have followed the precepts of Hahnemann say that Quinine and China are not identical; that they have common paroxysmal attacks of chill and fever, and both have violeut, profuse perspiration, with thirst; that China has no thirst during the chill or during the hot stage, but thirst before the chill and before the hot stage, while Quinine has that not unimportant symptom, thirst, during the chill and during the hot stage. The learned speaker will find his misrepresentation of the identity of the Chinailks corrected if he will condescend to take up that most excellent little work on " Honneopathic Therapeutics of Intermittent Fever," and Quinine, on page 81.

malarial intermittent fevers." proves to me that it is not, for just as Hahnemann found that bark might not Hommopathy be an error altogether? But my experience I have found that bark, or its alkaloid or alkaloids, will cure genuine would produce symptoms analogous to those of intermittent fever, not possible that he was mistaken in other things just as well, and Now, was Hahnemann mistaken in all this? And if he was, is it ague. This, together with other experiments, led to Hahnemann occasions, was to the effect that Hahuemanu, while engaged in trans-Homeopathy may be said to have had its foundation laid on bark. were capable of producing, or similia similibus curautur. and one of the most frequently repeated statements made on such says: "I have heard quite a good many lectures on Homeopathy, finding that drugs would cure symptoms similar to those that they produced symptoms very similar to those produced in an attack of that drug, and found that when taken by a person in good health it the explanation given by Mr. Cullen as to the action of Peruvian Bark in the cure of ague, and that he set himself to experiment with lating Cullen's Materia Medica into German, was dissatisfied with The speaker goes ou augmenting his misrepresentations when he Then 558

Comments.—The true history differs essentially from the above REALEDIA

Digitized by REMEDIA

clumsy misrepresentation, and we may as well remind the very elo MISREPRESENTATIONS [June

now, the boasted specific remedy for intermittent fever), it was a which remains respecting it is, under what vircumstances it may be most above misrepresentation. "evidence." We now give documentary evidence to destroy the quent misrepresenter that assertions and hearsay testimony are no frequently bring life-lasting misery and harm. Hahnemann, the a large majority of cases, and if it does fail to cure, it never fails to curutive remedy, that in other cases it failed just as Quinine fails in implies that in some cases of its employment (and it was then, as written by a truthful and brainy man: "And whilst it (Cinchona) healer has been made familiar with the characteristic Cinchona and under which Cinchons must cure intermittent fever. Every true out to us, who do read his writings, just what the circumstances are much more; he solved a question asked by Cullen, and he pointed symptoms analogous to those of intermittent fever. He found out followers as having found out merely that bark would produce labors, and now he is cruelly misrepresented by one of his pretended about under the law of the similars. Hahnemann continued his tion forced itself on this "thinker" that these cures were brought were just such symptoms as had been cured by Cinchona, the deducthat the peculiar symptoms, resembling those of intermittent fever great philosopher, did answer that question promptly after he had property employed." The question was asked in good faith and it is allowed to be a very safe and very powerful remedy, the only question Materia Medica, we there find under Cinchona the following sentence of Hahnemann and of homeopathic history, he may as well indulge employed, and if the speaker indulges in a coarse misrepresentation chona would cure genuine and true mularial intermittent fever proved Peruvian bark on himself and others; and after he found who so honestly and diligently worked for the benefit of suffering other China-ilks will cure genuine malarial intermittent fever in his modest claim to have himself found that Quinine and the Hahuemann found in what circumstances it may be most properly human organism. Cullen and his contemporaries found that Cin-Quinine sick-making, and therefore health-restoring, effects on the which Hahnemann gave the profession; ignoring the very pre humanity; ignoring all the characteristic symptoms of Cinchoun Ignoring wilfully the great discoveries of the founder of our school Taking up the second volume of Cullen's

> 1882.] HERING'S ANALYTICAL THERAPEUTICS.

247

" Chills commence at 11 o'clock, A. M.," while any tyro in materia cable for the cure of "Intermittent Fever." Wo are really astonished to see how this *learned* man cunningly misrepresents other remedies. istic symptoms of other well-proved and well-known remedies appli medica knows that Natr. mur. shakes at 10 A. M. sharp, and that Baptisia shakes at 11 A. M. He lays down as one of the characteristic symptoms of Natr. mur.

ever dose I please or think will be best in the given case. 'Let no we have served up enough of this unsavory dish to make the patient space does not permit us to dwell on the misrepresentations, bad us. 'Let no pent-up Utica contract our powers.'" Our limited ions, but avoid strict principles which establish 'laws.' No law for any auxiliary and supplementary means to accomplish this end sion of Homeopathy into it. I believe in misrepresentations and in not I. I believe in eclecticism, and desire to accomplish the pervercare a continental for Hahnemann, his observations, his teachingsp. 215, vol. 4): "I take no stock in medical Popes and Bosses ; I do not is ours." Might he not as well have said (Hahnemannian Monthly, pent-up Utica contract our powers. The whole boundless Continent what dose he gave Quinine, his characteristic reply was: "In what-Homeopathy, its literature and its materia medica, was asked in reader of these lines heart-sick and disgusted. Patience! Just let logic and hard assertions developed in that "discussion;" in fact, Somebody will, in the near future, say—enough ! these men keep ou uttering absurdities and Misrepresentations My opinion is supreme-let us be governed, as heretofore, by opin-When the gentleman, who shows himself so ignorant of 127

HERING'S ANALYTICAL THERAPEUTICS: MIND AND DISPOSITION.*

mention that the title of which is at the head of this review. We reverse this and say, of books which are books we would first books, the first I shall mention is Josephus' History of the Jews." Charles Lamb began an essay by saying, "of books that are not

there is no end," it is a rare experience when we can take in our verbs is being so fearfully verified: "That of making many books In these days, when the utterances of the kingly maker of pro-

*American Homeopathic Publishing Company. 2d ed.

face to Cinchona written by Hahnemann; ignoring all character

Color of the second

Sec. 11

an in the second

Sec. 1

and the second se

State of the second



246